

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,964	01/03/2001	Toufic Boubez	RSW920000103-	1704
75	on 11/16/2005		EXAM	INER
Gregory M. Doudnikoff			HAMILTON, LALITA M	
IBM Corporation	on T81/503		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO Box 12195 Research Trians	gle Park, NC 27709		3624	
· ·			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/753,964	BOUBEZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	I alita M. Hamilton	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
ariad for Panly		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation.  ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>31 August 2005</u> . )∐ This action is non-final.	
a NEW This potion is EINAL 2h)	there proposition as to the merits is	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	r allowance except for formal ma under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the app	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	witngrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
<ul><li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the</li><li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are:</li></ul>	Examiner.	to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are.  Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- the ot/s) including t	the correction is required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to: ede of a
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim in	of foldigit phoney and or or a	•
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	documents have been received.	
o Continued copies of the priority (	documents have been received t	in Application No
3 Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority documents have be	een received in this National Stage
application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies	not received.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interv	riew Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-092)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	10-940)	r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3624

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Summary

On June 7, 2005, an Office Action was mailed to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-30. On August 31, 2005, the Applicant responded with arguments.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Poon (US 2002/0062265), as set forth in the previous Office Action.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 31, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that Poon does not disclose receiving a registration request at the data processing system, the registration request including a service description and an identification of a category within the taxonomy in which the service is to be registered, applying one or more canonical service description tests to the service description to determine if the service description should be registered in the category, and registering the service description in the identified category using the data processing system if a result of applying the one or more canonical service description

Art Unit: 3624

tests is that the service description should be registered in the identified category; one or more canonical service description tests identify a minimum criteria for the category; storing the service description and the associated model description in a storage in association with the category; storing at the server any information from the client; a service broker; if a result of applying one or more canonical service description tests is that the service description should not be registered in the category, a determination is made as to whether a request to add a new category is received, or registering the service description in the alternate category; applying a canonical service description test that identifies minimum requirements of the category using security requirements, privacy requirements, and communication protocol requirements; or searching the taxonomy for an alternate category includes searching one or more sibling, parent, and child categories of the identified category within a predetermined range of the identified category of the taxonomy. In response, Poon discloses receiving a registration request at the data processing system (p.50, 29—user has option to proceed with category registration request if the category does not exist), the registration request including a service description and an identification of a category within the taxonomy in which the service is to be registered (p.50, 29); applying one or more canonical service description tests to the service description to determine if the service description should be registered in the category and registering the service description in the identified category using the data processing system if a result of applying the one or more canonical service description tests is that the service description should be registered in the identified category (p.50, 29—a test of whether the subcategory has related

Art Unit: 3624

subcategories is performed, and if it does not exist, the user has the option to register the subcategory); one or more canonical service description tests identify a minimum criteria for the category (p.50, 29--- a test of whether the subcategory has related subcategories is performed, and if it does not exist, the user has the option to register the subcategory); storing the service description and the associated model description in a storage in association with the category (p.50, 29); storing at the server any information from the client (p.50, 28-29--it is inherent that the server will store the client's information during the process); a service broker (p.50, 28-29—system broker); if a result of applying one or more canonical service description tests is that the service description should not be registered in the category, a determination is made as to whether a request to add a new category is received, or registering the service description in the alternate category (p.50, 29—category added if does not exist); applying a canonical service description test that identifies minimum requirements of the category using security requirements, privacy requirements, and communication protocol requirements (p.50, 29—inherent that the system will utilize security requirements, privacy requirements and communication protocol to protect the user); and searching the taxonomy for an alternate category includes searching one or more sibling, parent, and child categories of the identified category within a predetermined range of the identified category of the taxonomy (p.50, 28-29—searches categories, subcategories, and the subcategories of the subcategories).

Art Unit: 3624

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (8:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/753,964

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LMH